	Case 2:02-cv-00624-ROS Documen	t 182 Filed 09/19/06 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
8		
9	Pedro Amaya, et al.,	) No. 02-0624-PHX-ROS
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER
11	vs.	)
12	City of Tompo et al	)
13	City of Tempe, et al.,  Defendant.	
14	Defendant.	
15		
16		
17	On June 30, 2005 a jury verdict was rendered against Defendants (Doc. #135). On	
18	July 7, 2005 the Clerk of Court entered judgment accordingly and dismissed the action.	
19	(Doc. #137). Plaintiff filed several post-trial motions. Before the Court could rule on the	
20	post-trial motions, the parties notified the Court that they reached a settlement. (Doc. #181).	
21	The parties seek dismissal pursua	ant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(2). Therefore, the Court
22	will vacate the judgment (Doc. #137), 1	re-instate the action, and dismiss it with prejudice,
23	with each side to bear its own costs. In	addition, the Court will grant the parties' request to
24	retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms of the settlement agreement pursuant to <u>Kokkonen v.</u>	
25	Guardian Life Ins. Co. of Am., 511 U.S. 374 (1994) (the court may, in its discretion, make	
26	retention of jurisdiction over the settlement agreement part of its order).	
27		
28		

	Case 2:02-cv-00624-ROS Document 182 Filed 09/19/06 Page 2 of 2	
1	Accordingly,	
2	IT IS ORDERED that the July 7, 2005 Judgment (Doc. #137) is vacated. The Clerk	
3	of Court shall reinstate the case.	
4	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to stipulation, the case shall be dismissed	
5	with prejudice, each side to bear its own costs.	
6	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction over the	
7	enforcement of the terms of the settlement agreement.	
8	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all pending motions shall be denied without	
9	prejudice.	
10		
11	DATED this 19 <sup>th</sup> day of September, 2006.	
12		
13		
14	Cosley Leur	
15	United States District Judge	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

28